



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/998,016		11/29/2001	Georges Auberger	083114 0277565	3885	
758	7590	11/01/2006		EXAM	AMINER	
FENWICE			BROWN, R	BROWN, RUEBEN M		
SILICON V 801 CALIF			ART UNIT -	PAPER NUMBER		
MOUNTA	IN VIEW,	CA 94041	2623	2623		
			DATE MAILED: 11/01/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ap	Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			9/998,016		AUBERGER, GEORGES				
			aminer		Art Unit				
			euben M. Brown		2623				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears	s on the cover she	eet with the co	orrespondence ad	idress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Masions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum some to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). munication. tatutory period will ap y will, by statute, caus	OF THIS COMN In no event, however, i ply and will expire SIX (6 te the application to become	MUNICATION may a reply be time B) MONTHS from the ome ABANDONED	ely liled ne mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on 15 Augus	st 2006.						
2a)□	·	2b)⊠ This acti							
,		Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)🖂	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or ele	ection requiremen	nt.					
Applicati	on Papers	,							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
,—	Applicant may not request that any obje			=					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Exami	ner. Note the atta	ached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
,.	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachmen	•		_						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (DTO 040\		view Summary (er No(s)/Mail Dat					
- =	e of Draπsperson's Patent Drawing Review (i nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)			ce of Informal Pa					
	r No(s)/Mail Date		6) 🔲 Othe	er:					

Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 8/15/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's main argument, as found on page 7 and throughout is that Real Publisher does not meet the claim since the reference teaches that the metafile is stored at the local disk. Examiner agrees, but points out that the reference on goes on to teach on page 30, which is also cited by applicant, that the system updated when the user files are uploaded to the server. Therefore the feature of storing at a location remote form the user is also in fact, net by Real Publisher.

As for the additionally claimed feature, Real Publisher teaches that the metafile contains a URL, which reads on the amended feature of indicating video data is associated with a web page.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Getting Started with RealPublisher, Version 5.1, (Software Manual, 12/2/1998).

Considering claim 14, the claimed method of inserting and integrating video data into a streaming digital medium over a communication network, comprising:

'means for presenting a browser plug-in and a digital form to a user, wherein the user is prompted to complete the form' is met by the screen shots, displayed on pages 11-18, which prompt a user to choose various parameters of the recording set-up. The RealPlayer RealPublisher application reads on the claimed 'browser plug-in', whereas each actual page that is interacted with by the user, reads on the claimed 'digital form'.

'means for uploading the video data to a media vault', reads on the discussion in RealPublisher that the created audio/video files may be stored at a remote server, and that the

Art Unit: 2623

user chooses the name & directory, see page 13, Item # 13; page 16, Item # 15; page 19, Item # 15; page 24, Item # 7; pages 31-37.

'means for storing a video token into a database, wherein the video token is associated with the video data'; reads on the section in RealPublisher of creating web pages, embedded with video files, pages 27-30. The metafile in RealPublisher corresponds with the claimed 'video token', since it is associated with a corresponding medial file, see page 30.

'means for presenting the digital medium and video data on the computing device', reads on, page 30, Item # 13, which discloses that the user may view with web page (with video content) using a browser.

Regarding the amended claimed feature of, 'indicating that the vide data is associated with web page', Real Publisher teaches ha the metafile is associated with a URL, seepage 30.

Considering claims 15-16, RealPublisher teaches that the user may record video data within web pages, which reads on HTML see pages 27-30.

Considering claim 17, the browser plug-in RealPlayer is used to record data in the RealPublisher software package, see page 30, which states, "You must have RealPlayer installed to view your page properly.

Art Unit: 2623

Considering claim 18, RealPublisher teaches that the input content may be live video, which requires a video camera as a capture device; see page 7 & pages 14-15.

Considering claim 19, the video data in RealPublisher may be imported from a memory of a computing device, page 12, Item # 5.

Considering claim 20, the purpose of the directory name is for uniquely identifying the video data, when in storage; see page 30.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-13 & 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chadda, (U.S. Pat # 6,173,317), in view of Yap, (U.S. PGPUB 2002/0040475).

Art Unit: 2623

Considering claim 1, the claimed method of inserting and integrating video data into a streaming digital medium over a communication network, comprising:

'selecting a transaction for implementing video enabling services', is met by the disclosure of Chadda, which teaches a user, i.e., designer 219 using the producer 215 to create interactive documents, col. 5, lines 10-35 & Fig. 2-3. The clamed feature reads on the use selecting the software package.

'transmitting a digital form for a user to complete on a user computing device' and 'receiving a completed digital form', reads by the user operating the producer application over the Internet as shown, col. 5, lines 29-54.

'requesting the video enabling service to load a browser plug-in on the user computing device in response to receiving the completed digital form, such that browser plug-in is configured for video data recording' is met by the disclosure that the browser plug-in 952 may be transmitted to the user and installed over the Internet, col. 8, lines 4-30.

'receiving the video data to a media vault', reads on the discussion in Chadda, that the video may be stored remote from the designer 219, col. 7, lines 45-50.

'storing a video token into a database, the video token associated with the video data in the media vault'; Chadda only discusses Table of Contents to indexed time stamps in a video

Art Unit: 2623

stream. Nevertheless, Yap teaches a system wherein video data is stored on a subscriber equipment and a file manager stores entries in a database used to retrieve the instant stored vide data, see Para [0125]-[0127]. It would have been obvious for one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify Chadda with the feature of maintaining a database of entries that represents stored video items, at least for the advantage of enabling the user to easily manage the recorded content as taught by Yap, Para [0126].

'presenting the digital medium and video data on the computing device', reads on Chadda col. 5, lines 30-40 & col. 6, lines 21-35.

Regarding the amended claimed feature of, 'indicating that the vide data is associated with web page', the annotation stream in Chadda is associated with URL, which reads on the subject matter, col. 8, lines 14-25.

Considering claims 2-3 & 9, Chadda teaches that the video data may be streamed over the Internet, which meets the claimed subject matter, see Abstract; col. 8, lines 21-60.

Considering claims 4 & 10, the browser plug-in is used to display & record data, see Chadda col. 8, lines 21-60.

Art Unit: 2623

Considering claims 5, & 11, Chadda teaches that the input content may be live video, which requires a video camera as a capture device, see col. 9, lines 62-67. Also see Chadda, col. 5, lines 44-46.

Considering claims 6 & 13, each entry in the database of Yap uniquely identifies an associated recorded media, see Para [0125]-[0126].

Considering claim 7, the claimed method and system for inserting and integrating video data into streaming digital medium, comprises features that correspond with subject matter mentioned above in the rejection of claim 1, and are likewise treated.

Considering claim 8, Official Notice is taken that at the time the invention was made, it was known the art to transmit video files as attachment to e-mail. It would have been obvious for one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify the combination of Chadda & Yap, with the known feature of transmitting video data video e-mail services, at least for the benefit of providing the user with a wider rage of communication methods.

Considering claim 12, see Chadda, col. 5, lines 44-50.

Considering claim 21, the claimed subject matter reads on the customer in Yap accessing the video data by using an entry stored in the database, see Para [0125]-[0129].

Art Unit: 2623

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(571) 273-7290 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reuben M. Brown M. Brown whose telephone number is (571) 272-7290. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F(8:30-6:00), First Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Christopher Kelley can be reached on (571) 272-7331. The fax phone numbers for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300 for regular communications and After

Final communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Reuben M. Brown

REUBEN M. BROWN
PATENT EXAMINER